

Summary:

Albuquerque, New Mexico; Sales Tax

Credit Profile

US\$23.0 mil gross receipts tax/lodger's tax imp rev bnds ser 2016 due 07/01/2037

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	New
-------------------------	------------	-----

Albuquerque Mun Gross Receipts Tax

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
-------------------------	------------	----------

Albuquerque SALESTAX

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
-------------------------	------------	----------

Albuquerque sales gross rcpts tax rfdg tax-ex & taxable bnds ser A&B dtd 10/6/2004 due 7/1/2005-2014 2024 2031 2033 2036-2037

<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
--------------------------	------------------	----------

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AAA' long-term rating to Albuquerque, N.M.'s series 2016 gross receipts tax (GRT) and lodger's tax improvement and revenue bonds. At the same time, Standard & Poor's affirmed its 'AAA' rating on the city's outstanding GRT debt. The outlook is stable.

The ratings reflect our view of the city's:

- Very strong, 8.7X maximum annual debt service (MADS) coverage by pledged state-shared GRT revenue alone;
- Strong, 131% of the national level retail sales per capita, reflecting the city's role as the economic center for the region, with strong links to the government, defense-related research, health care, and high-technology sectors;
- Stable growth in GRT collections since fiscal year 2010;
- Strong, 2.25x additional bonds test (ABT) and dependence on pledged revenue for general operations, which reduces the likelihood of significant additional parity debt issuance; and
- Low level of funding interdependencies with the federal government.

The city's GRT bonds are eligible to be rated above the sovereign because we believe the city can maintain better credit characteristics than the U.S. in a stress scenario. Under our criteria "Ratings Above the Sovereign -- Corporate and Government Ratings: Methodology and Assumptions", U.S. local governments are considered to have moderate sensitivity to country risk. The city's locally derived revenues are the source of security for the bonds, and the institutional framework in the U.S. is predictable with significant U.S. local government autonomy. In a potential sovereign default scenario, U.S. local governments would maintain financial flexibility through the ability to continue collecting locally derived revenues and U.S. local governments have independent treasury management.

State-shared GRT revenues of 1.225% secure the bonds. In addition to the state-shared GRT, a lien on 50% of the city's lodgers' tax, less administrative costs, secures the series 2004B, 2009A, 2011A, and 2014 bonds. A pledge of net revenues of certain housing projects also secures the series 2008B bonds. A pledge of lease payments to the city from

the lease of the baseball stadium and from surcharges on ticket sales, concessions, and other goods and services sold at the baseball stadium also secure the series 2011B bonds. We based the rating on the credit characteristics of the state-shared GRT pledge because of strength of the pledge relative to the other pledges, in particular, the lodger's tax. The pledge of the lodger's tax is relative small compared to the state-shared GRT. The pledge on the bonds that are secured by both state-shared GRT and 50% of the city's lodger's tax will have slightly higher coverage levels.

The series 2016 bonds will be issued to fund various capital improvement projects related to economic development.

GRTs are a broad-based sales tax collected by the state taxation and revenue department. Since 2010, the GRT revenue has increased an average of 2.4% a year through 2015. Fiscal 2016 is showing strong signs of growth as well, with year-to-date collections rising about 4% from the previous year. The revenue stream is slightly volatile and declined by a cumulative 10% between fiscal years 2008 and 2010 because of the economic slowdown. In fiscal 2015, pledged state-shared GRT revenue alone provided a very strong 9.5x MADS coverage of all GRT debt. Based on fiscal 2015 pledged revenues of \$186 million, pro forma MADS coverage is 8.7x, a level we still consider very strong.

The city's pledged lodger's tax revenue of \$5.7 million (50% of lodger's tax revenues) in fiscal 2015 covers annual debt service on the series 2004B, 2009A, 2011A, and 2014 bonds, but does not fully support MADS coverage alone.

Lodger's tax revenue has modestly increased in each of the past four fiscal years, reaching \$11.4 million fiscal 2015.

Recent changes in state legislation will phase out the "hold harmless" distributions to cities and counties from the state starting in 2016. The distributions are in lieu of GRT revenues that the city would have received had the state not implemented certain food and medical deductions from gross receipts in 2004. The reductions will be phased in across 15 years. The hold harmless distribution portion of the city's pledged GRT revenues have historically been about 10% of total revenues. The projected impact for fiscal 2016 is \$1.2 million, which will not have a material effect on pledged revenues. We understand that a section in the legislation contains a debt impairment provision for "hold harmless" GRT revenue that has been pledged for debt service.

The 2013 legislature allowed the city to implement up to an additional three-eighths of GRT increment to offset the loss of the in-lieu state revenue, although we understand that no newly adopted GRT increment would be pledged to the GRT bonds without further action by the city council. Officials report that city does not plan to implement the additional increments in the immediate future. Despite the phased reductions in pledged revenue, Albuquerque has seen good recent growth in GRT revenues and anticipates additional growth, which could help mitigate any coverage declines.

Bond provisions require that pledged revenues from state-shared GRTs for the fiscal year, or any 12 consecutive months of 18 months preceding the date of issuance, provide 2.25x coverage of maximum future debt service on prior-lien and parity bonds. In addition, since the pledged GRT revenue represented about 38% of general fund revenue in fiscal 2015, we believe the city's dependence on these taxes for operations makes it unlikely it would issue additional debt to the fullest extent of its ABT.

Although the bond ordinance allows for variable-rate debt issuances, city officials represent that no variable-rate GRT debt is outstanding. We understand that there are no debt service reserves for the GRT bonds, although the bond ordinance requires the city to segregate monthly the pledged revenue it receives in a GRT income fund for the next

debt service payment before surplus is released for any other lawful purpose.

Economy

We consider Albuquerque's underlying economy to be broad and diverse. Per capita retail sales are strong, in our view, at 131% of the national level, reflecting the city's status as New Mexico's largest city and main economic center. With an estimated population of 563,000, it benefits from a large amount of government-based jobs. We consider incomes in Albuquerque to be good, with per capita effective buying income equal to 95% of the national average. In 2015, federal, state and local government employment in the Albuquerque Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) accounted for 22% of nonagricultural jobs. Professional and business services, and education and health services represent 15% and 16% of total nonfarm employment, respectively. The MSA's leading employers are the University of New Mexico, Albuquerque Public Schools, and Sandia National Laboratories. According to estimates from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Bernalillo County unemployment was 5.7% for calendar 2015.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects very strong MADS coverage by GRT revenue alone and stable growth in pledged GRT revenues. We, therefore, don't expect to change the rating during the next two years.

Downside scenario

While unlikely, should additional debt issuances or declines in GRT significantly dilute coverage, we could lower the rating.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: Special Tax Bonds, June 13, 2007
- Ratings Above The Sovereign: Corporate And Government Ratings—Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria: Use of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Ratings Detail (As Of January 20, 2016)

Albuquerque GRT rfdg rev bnds

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
-------------------------	------------	----------

Albuquerque GRT/lodgers

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
-------------------------	------------	----------

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2016 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.